<b>∌</b> r	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary .	09/944,134	KUSUNOKI, MITSUGU
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Juan A. Torres	2631
All Participants:	Status of Application: Abandonment	
(1) Juan A. Torres.	(3)	
(2) <u>Carl Brundidge</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>01-04-2006</u>	Time: <u>11:05 a.m. EST</u>	
Type of Interview:  Telephonic Video Conference Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:  Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate r directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate r did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summany</li> </ul>	e examiner will provide a writt ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
Jumbu	(Asserting the December 1)	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	Applicant's Representative S	ignature – ir appropriate)

U.S. Patent and Tredemark Office PTOL-413B (04-03) Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

The Examiner asked for the status of the application. The Applicant's representative said that they didn't file response to the last. Office action. The Examiner asked if they want the Patent Office send the Abandonment action. The Applicant's representative agreed about sending the Abandonment action.